

Rationale For Eliminating the Civil Use of HEU

Thomas B. Cochran, Ph.D. and Matthew G. McKinzie, Ph.D.

***Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.
Washington, D.C.***

Presented at the

***Next Generation Nuclear Security:
Meeting the Global Challenge***

***Washington, D.C.
April 12, 2010***

Technologies for Terrorism:

Increasing Casualties and Economic Damage

- Chemical High Explosives
- Chemical Weapons
- Radiological Dispersal Devices
- Biological Weapons
- **Nuclear Explosive Devices**

Increasing Probability of Use

Alternatives for Obtaining a Nuclear Explosive Device

Be Given, Buy or Steal:

- An Intact Nuclear Weapon
- Explosive Fissionable Materials
 - Plutonium
 - HEU

Gauging Risk:

- No historical cases of intact nuclear weapons being given to, stolen or purchased by terrorists
- Nuclear weapons have greater physical security than fissile material, typically weigh > 100 kg; have internal security systems (e.g., PALs); possess strong radiological signatures
- Since the collapse of the Soviet Union there have been a handful of cases involving the diversion of less than weapon quantities of explosive fissionable materials from institutes in the Former Soviet Union
- International Atomic Energy Agency statistics (1993-2006): 275 confirmed incidents involving nuclear material and criminal intent occurred globally – 4 involved plutonium, but 14 involved HEU
- More than 40 countries harbor HEU

HEU vs Plutonium

Relative to Plutonium, HEU is

- More Plentiful
- More Dispersed
- Less Secure
- Easier to Handle
- Harder to Detect
- Easier to Fabricate into a **Crude Nuclear Explosive Device** (*although Plutonium has a Smaller Critical Mass*)

Gun-Assembly vs Compression

*Two Classic Approaches to
Fission Weapon Design*

- **Gun Assembly:** Easier to Fabricate
- **Compression:** Less Fissile Material Required

Crude HEU Gun-Assembly Device

In 1987 Nobel laureate physicist and Manhattan Project scientist Luis Alvarez wrote that **if terrorists had modern weapon-grade uranium, they “...would have a good chance of setting off a high-yield explosion simply by dropping one half of the material on the other half.”**

HEU “Gun Assembly”

To achieve a good chance of at least a kiloton yield with about two critical masses of HEU neither a gun nor gun propellant is needed.

A one kiloton ground burst
can produce
Hiroshima-level casualties

A commercial license to possess about two critical masses of HEU is a commercial license to possess a nuclear explosive device capable of achieving Hiroshima-level casualties.

HEU is Simply Too Risky:
Production and
Commercial Use
of HEU Must be Banned
Globally